

**NEW ENGLAND LEGAL FOUNDATION
LITIGATION REPORT
October 2018**

Table of Contents

Recent Decisions:

Urging rejection of a proposed Massachusetts ballot question approving an amendment to the Massachusetts Constitution that would impose an additional 4% tax on income above the first \$1 million because, in clear violation of the Massachusetts Constitution, it dealt with “unrelated” subjects.....1

Anderson v. Maura Healey (Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court)

Arguing that Massachusetts legal precedent and public policy require that Chapter 93A consumer protection claims based on a home improvement project gone wrong be subject to the statute of repose governing tort claims arising from such subject matter2

Bridgwood v. A.J. Wood Construction, Inc. (Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court)

Arguing that an online business should be allowed to enforce its mandatory arbitration policy and class action waiver against a customer, when those contract terms are viewable by clicking on a clearly marked hyperlink to the business’s “terms and conditions,” and the business has clearly provided that the customer is deemed to accept those terms by creating an account with the business.....3

Cullinane v. Uber Technologies, Inc. (United States Court of Appeals for the First Circuit)

Pending Cases:

Urging the United States Supreme Court to overrule the portion of *Williamson County Regional Planning Commission v. Hamilton Bank*, 473 U.S. 172 (1985), that requires property owners to exhaust state court remedies before a federal takings claim will be deemed to be ripe for federal court adjudication.....5

Knick v. Township of Scott (United States Supreme Court)

Did the United States Fish and Wildlife Service act beyond its authority when it designated private property as a critical habitat for a creature that does not live on the property and would die if placed there?.....6

Weyerhaeuser Company v. United States Fish and Wildlife Service (United States Supreme Court)

Arguing that the Federal Arbitration Act’s exemption for “contracts of employment of seamen, railroad employees or any other class of workers engaged in foreign or interstate commerce” applies only to contracts establishing an employer-employee relationship and not to independent contractor agreements.....7
New Prime, Inc. v. Oliveira (United States Supreme Court)

Does the Federal Arbitration Act permit a court to order two parties to an arbitration agreement to submit to class arbitration when the arbitration agreement makes no mention of class procedures and merely states that the two parties agree to arbitrate their disputes?9
Lamps Plus, Inc. v. Varela (United States Supreme Court)

Does the Federal Arbitration Act permit a court to disregard the parties’ agreement to have the arbitrator decide all threshold issues of arbitrability and to decide for itself the merits of the parties’ dispute over arbitrability?.....10
Henry Schein, Inc., et al. v. Archer and White Sales, Inc. (United States Supreme Court)

What standard should be applied by courts determining, under *Kelo v. City of New London*, 545 U.S. 469 (2005), whether a governmental body’s claim that it is taking private property for a public purpose is merely pretextual?12
Violet Dock Port, Inc. LLC v. St. Bernard Port, Harbor & Terminal District (United States Supreme Court)

May a state court, having determined that a tax was illegally assessed, rule, based on equitable factors, that its determination of invalidity will be prospective only, thereby allowing the state to keep the taxpayers’ money? 13
Bridgewood v. A.J. Wood Construction, Inc. (Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court)

Does an award of “back pay” required under the Worker Adjustment and Relocation Notification Act, 20 U.S.C. § 2101 *et seq.* when an employer fails to give an employee 60 days’ notice before closing operations, constitute “wages earned” by that employee under the Massachusetts Wage Act?.....15
Calixto and another v. Coughlin, et al (Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court)

Can an employer’s denial of an employee’s request for a lateral transfer constitute an “adverse employment action” cognizable under the Massachusetts Employment Antidiscrimination Statute, G.L. c. 151B, and, if so, under what circumstances.....17

Yee v. Massachusetts State Police (Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court)

Opposing Regulatory Encroachment on Coastal Property Rights.....19

Hall v. Department of Environmental Protection (Massachusetts Division of Administrative Law Appeals)